

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,681	09/12/2003	Ihor S. Diakunchak	2003P13117US	7361
7.	590 08/24/2004		EXAM	INER
Siemens Corporation			VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M	
Intellectual Pro	perty Department			
170 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 08830			3745	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/661,681	DIAKUNCHAK, IHOR S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher Verdier	3745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 9-12, 14, 17</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>5,7,8,13,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecd drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	tte)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9-12-03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed September 12, 2003 fails to comply with 37

CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication

or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused

it to be listed. The foreign reference GB 2,381,048 only includes the abstract and is missing all

other pages. The information referred in the foreign reference GB 2,381,048 to therein has not

been considered.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: Appropriate

correction is required.

On page 2, line 24, "peaning" should be changed to -- peening --.

Examiner's Suggestion to Claim Language

The following is a suggestion to improve the clarity and precision of the claims:

In claim 10, line 2, "a" (last occurrence) may be changed to -- the --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,681

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 1-3, 9-11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Salisbury 2,314,289 (figures 1-4). Note the sealing system for reducing a gap between a tip of a shrouded turbine blade 12/34 and a stationary shroud 10/27/30 of a turbine engine, comprising: a turbine blade assembly 12/34 having at least one stage formed from a plurality of shrouded turbine blades 12/34 (note turbine shroud 19/35), at least one seal land 21/22/24/25 or 36/38/39/40 coupled to at least one shrouded turbine blade, the at least one seal land extending from a tip of the at least one shrouded turbine blade toward the stationary shroud of the turbine engine and having a curved configuration, and the at least one seal land is adapted to straighten from a curved resting position to an operating position where a tip of the at least one seal land is closer to the stationary shroud of the turbine engine than when the turbine engine is in a resting position. At least one protrusion (unnumbered; to the left of 30 in figure 4) extends from the stationary shroud toward the turbine blade assembly, where the at least one protrusion extends circumferentially about an axis of rotation of the turbine blade assembly. The at least one seal land is curved into a gas flow.

Claims 1 and 9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soviet Union Patent 779,592 (figures 1-3). Note the sealing system for reducing a gap between a tip of a shrouded turbine blade 1 and a stationary shroud 7 of a turbine engine, comprising: a turbine blade assembly 1 having at least one stage formed from a plurality of shrouded turbine blades 1 (note turbine shroud 4), at least one seal land 5 coupled to at least one shrouded turbine blade, the at least one seal land extending from a tip of the at least one shrouded turbine blade toward the stationary shroud of the turbine engine and having a curved configuration, and the at least

one seal land is adapted to straighten from a curved resting position to an operating position where a tip of the at least one seal land is closer to the stationary shroud of the turbine engine than when the turbine engine is in a resting position. The at least one seal land is curved into a gas flow.

Claims 1 and 9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rotter 838,358. Note the sealing system for reducing a gap between a tip of a shrouded turbine blade 3 and a stationary shroud 6 of a turbine engine, comprising: a turbine blade assembly 3 having at least one stage formed from a plurality of shrouded turbine blades 1 (note turbine shroud 7), at least one seal land 8, 9 coupled to at least one shrouded turbine blade, the at least one seal land extending from a tip of the at least one shrouded turbine blade toward the stationary shroud of the turbine engine and having a curved configuration, and the at least one seal land is adapted to straighten from a curved resting position to an operating position where a tip of the at least one seal land is closer to the stationary shroud of the turbine engine than when the turbine engine is in a resting position. The at least one seal land is curved into a gas flow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,681

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salisbury 2,314,289 in view of either (Soviet Union Patent 1,159,970 or Huber 3,867,060). Salisbury (figures 1-4) discloses a sealing system and a turbine engine substantially as claimed as set forth above, including at least one seal land 21/22/24/25 or 36/38/39/40, but does not disclose that the seal land comprises a first seal land and a second seal land, with the first seal land positioned upstream of a protrusion and the second seal land positioned downstream of the protrusion.

Soviet Union Patent 1,159,970 (figure 1) shows a turbine having blades 1 with a shroud 3 having a first seal land 17 and a second seal land 17, with the first seal land positioned upstream of a protrusion 4 and the second seal land positioned downstream of the protrusion 4, for the purpose of providing an increased sealing effect between the seal lands and a stationary shroud 8. Huber shows a turbine having blades 21 with an unnumbered shroud having a first seal land 23 and a second seal land 23, with the first seal land positioned upstream of a protrusion 29 and the second seal land positioned downstream of the protrusion 29, for the purpose of providing an increased sealing effect between the seal lands and a stationary shroud 27.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form the sealing system and a turbine engine of Salisbury such that the seal land comprises a first seal land and a second seal land, with the first seal land positioned upstream of a protrusion and the second seal land positioned downstream of the protrusion, as taught by either Soviet Union Patent 1,159,970 or Huber 3,867,060, for the purpose of providing an increased sealing effect between the seal lands and the stationary shroud.

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salisbury 2,314,289 in view of Brandon 5,234,318. Salisbury (figures 1-4) discloses a sealing system and a turbine engine substantially as claimed as set forth above, including at least one seal land 21/22/24/25 or 36/38/39/40, that is welded at 23 or 37 to the tip of shrouded turbine blade, but does not disclose that the seal land is brazed to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade.

Brandon (figures 1 and 5-9) shows a turbine blade 12 with a shroud 18, which has a seal land 30 attached to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade. Column 3, lines 15-19 teach that the seal land may be attached by either brazing or welding, as an equivalent means of attaching the seal land to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade, for the purpose of securely attaching the seal land to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form the sealing system and a turbine engine of Salisbury such that the seal land is brazed to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade, as taught by Brandon, for the purpose of securely attaching the seal land to the tip of the shrouded turbine blade.

## Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Stine is cited to show a turbine seal strip attached to a blade by brazing.

Bailey is cited to show turbine blade tip seal with plural seal lands and plural protrusions.

Art Unit: 3745

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7-8, 13, and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (703)-308-2638. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V.

August 20, 2004

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745